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The BELIEF Instrument: A Preclinical Teaching Tool To Elicit Patients’ Health Beliefs
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Purpose: The BELIEF Instrument is a cultural interviewing tool for preclinical medical students that does not require diagnostic or therapeutic skills. Methods: An expert panel developed and taught the instrument to 200 first-year medical students in (1) a didactic session, (2) standardized patient interviews, and (3) clinical correlation sessions with community physicians and third-year medical students. Standardized patients evaluated students on the BELIEF questions in a graded interview. Results: A total of 93.5% (range 86% to 97%) of 197 students elicited information on each of the BELIEF items. Conclusions: The BELIEF instrument works as a cultural interviewing tool. It is unknown if students’ interviewing behavior generalizes to real patients in clinical settings.

(Fam Med 2003;35(5):316-9.)

Culturally competent health care enhances the physician-patient relationship, allows respect for the patient’s health beliefs, and encourages collaborative management of the patient’s illness. In addition, culturally effective health care may improve patient health outcomes, save health care dollars, and reduce ethnic disparities in health, Goal 2 of Healthy People 2010. The Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) requires that medical schools train culturally competent physicians to meet the needs of the increasingly diverse US population; yet in 1994 only 13 of 126 medical schools (10%) offered cultural sensitivity courses. By 2000, students at 80% of medical schools received some cultural content, but the number of schools that provided dedicated courses had fallen to only 8%.

The movement toward incorporating cultural issues into medical education began in the 1970s, when Kleinman et al and Demers et al began investigating integrating anthropological and cross-cultural research into clinical practice. Since clinicians primarily demonstrate cultural efficacy while interviewing patients, it seemed logical for medical educators to teach interviewing skills that fostered cultural competency. Since the early 1980s, several tools to teach culturally competent interviewing have emerged (Table 1). While planning a longitudinal curriculum in cultural competency at the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, we reviewed the LEARN and ETHNIC models for the first-year course. We concluded that these tools were
unsuitable for first-year students because their use requires clinical diagnostic and therapeutic skills. Therefore, we designed a new tool, the BELIEF instrument (Table 1) to teach preclinical medical students (who possess basic medical interviewing skills but lack skills in diagnosis or therapeutics) to elicit a patient’s health beliefs. This paper describes the development, implementation, and preliminary results obtained from piloting the BELIEF instrument.

Methods

Development of the BELIEF Instrument

An expert panel consisting of two physicians, a program evaluation specialist, a research nurse with a Master’s degree in biostatistics, and a behavioral psychologist devised the tool. Robert Like, MD, author of ETHNIC, consulted with us. We developed the BELIEF instrument from early work by Dr Like and others on eliciting patients’ explanatory models and from the “E” (explanation) domain of the ETHNIC framework (Table 1). The project was supported by the Hispanic Center of Excellence and by a grant from the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine Foundation.

Implementing the BELIEF Instrument

The BELIEF instrument was a first-year component of the institution’s longitudinal cultural competency curriculum. The first-year goals were to enhance students’ understanding of how health beliefs may affect patient care and to broaden students’ perspectives about health beliefs different from their own.

We introduced the BELIEF instrument in a series of interventions followed by clinical reinforcement sessions and evaluation by a standardized patient interview.

Step 1. Introduction of the BELIEF Instrument.

As part of the first-year Introduction to Patient Care (IPC) course in July 2000, the two physicians from the expert panel taught the BELIEF instrument to the class of 200 students in a 2-hour lecture-discussion, “Health Beliefs in the Physician-Patient Encounter.” The BELIEF instrument proved to be straightforward to teach and easy to integrate into clinical case vignettes. One example was a devout but “noncompliant” diabetic woman who ignored her physician’s instructions because she believed that her diabetes was the will of God.

Table 1

Culturally Relevant Interviewing Instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEARN</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Berlin, Fowkes</td>
<td>Simple, effective, patient-centered approach not confined to cross-cultural encounters. Use requires diagnostic and therapeutic skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATHE</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Stuart, Leibermann</td>
<td>Developed to elicit the psychosocial context of any encounter, not specifically cross-cultural interviewing. Use does not require clinical skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHNIC</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Levin, Like, Gottlieb</td>
<td>A framework for culturally competent clinical practice. Suitable for clinical students since use requires diagnostic and therapeutic skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELIEF</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Dobbie, Medrano, Tysinger, Olney</td>
<td>Developed from early work on explanatory models, LEARN and ETHNIC. Suitable for preclinical or early clinical students since use does not require diagnostic or therapeutic skills.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 2. Practice Sessions Using the BELIEF Instrument. In the week following the lecture-discussion, students discussed culturally relevant patient cases in small groups and practiced using the BELIEF tool in interviewing sessions with standardized patients.

Step 3. Clinical Correlation Sessions. Between July and November 2000, the first-year students spent four sessions in clinical settings with a community physician or senior medical student. The plan was that, among other clinical activities (for example, practicing basic physical examination skills), students would practice interviewing using the BELIEF instrument and observe modeling of culturally competent clinical care.

Step 4. Evaluation. In November 2000, we tested students’ use of the BELIEF instrument in a 30-minute graded standardized patient interview. Standardized patients of Hispanic ethnic origin played a patient who believed she had empacho (conditions in which food is stuck in the stomach or intestines) and rated students on whether they asked about or elicited information on the BELIEF questions.

Standardized Patient Empacho Case Description. A 20–40 year old Hispanic woman presented with reflux-type abdominal pain that the patient feared might be the result of a neighbor’s curse. The neighbor had accused the patient of trying to steal her boyfriend. The patient’s cousin, a traditional healer, had diagnosed empacho and prescribed estafiate (wormwood tea) and pork lard compresses. The patient was unsure if she believed in empacho and presented for a second opinion when the traditional remedies failed to relieve her pain.

Results
Out of the class of 200, 197 students participated in the graded standardized patient interview. An average of 93.5% of students (range 86% to 97%) asked or elicited the information on five of the six BELIEF items. Standardized patients assessed the sixth item, “E” (empathy), on their standard communication skills checklist. To avoid grading students twice on the same behavior, we did not include empathy for a second time on the BELIEF checklist. Table 2 shows the results from the graded standardized patient encounter checklist.

Discussion
The BELIEF instrument has shown under the testing conditions of a standardized patient interview that it works as a tool to teach culturally competent interviewing skills early in the preclinical years. Although we piloted the BELIEF instrument in only one medical school, we believe it has widespread generalizability to other institutions whose students and patients represent multiple cultures and belief systems.

We welcome more-extensive studies using the BELIEF instrument to investigate the extent to which behaviors displayed by medical students under graded test conditions generalize to interviewing real patients in clinical settings. Also, since our simple checklist recorded only the presence or absence of the BELIEF questions, further studies could address the degree of cultural competence demonstrated by students. Other studies could involve different learners, such as nursing and pharmacy students. Finally, the BELIEF Instrument could be introduced to practicing physicians to investigate whether its use improves physician-patient communication and patient satisfaction across cultures.
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item—Student asked you or discussed:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B: What you BELIEVE your illness is (empacho)</td>
<td>191 (97%)</td>
<td>6 (3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: What empacho is</td>
<td>187 (95%)</td>
<td>10 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L: How you normally seek health care</td>
<td>170 (86%)</td>
<td>23 (12%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: How you would normally treat empacho</td>
<td>182 (92%)</td>
<td>12 (6%)</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: What kind of impact these symptoms have in your life</td>
<td>192 (97%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: Your physical or emotional discomfort/feelings</td>
<td>185 (94%)</td>
<td>11 (6%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Standardized patients assessed the “E” (empathy) component of the BELIEF instrument on their standard communication skills checklist. Students again scored over 90%.

* Portions of the material in this article were presented in a PEER paper at the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine Foundation.
Teachers of Family Medicine 2002 Predoctoral Education Conference in Tampa, Fla.
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